**PERSPECTIVE**

**WHY ‘ULTIMATE ENCRYPTION’ SHOULD BE ILLEGAL**

By Ariel Ezriel

Tech companies are attempting to convince the FCC to adopt a new version of the so-called “Ultimate Encryption” policy, which would essentially make encryption unbreakable and thus allow the government to access encrypted communications. But this proposal is deeply troubling, as it would give the government almost complete control over the internet and threaten individual privacy and security.

The Ultimate Encryption policy is based on the idea that encryption should be treated as a form of insurance, similar to how fire and theft insurance works. In the event of a crisis, the government would have the ability to access encrypted communications to protect national security.

But this idea is flawed on several fronts. First, it would give the government too much power over the internet. The government could use this power to access any communication, whether it is related to national security or not.

Second, this policy would not be effective. Encryption is a complex technology, and there are many ways to design encryption systems that would make it impossible for the government to access encrypted communications. The government would have to find ways to break these encryption systems, which would be extremely difficult.

Finally, this policy would not be just. The Ultimate Encryption policy would result in a significant loss of privacy for citizens, as the government would have access to almost all communications.

In conclusion, the Ultimate Encryption policy is a dangerous and ineffective proposal that would give the government too much power over the internet and threaten individual privacy and security. We must reject this policy and find a better way to balance the need for national security with the need for individual privacy and freedom of speech.
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**WHEN APPRENTICE JUDGES DECIDE TO ABANDON PRECEDENT**

When apprentice judges decide to abandon precedent

By Linda S. Klubanow

Apprentice judges are judges in training who are often appointed to the bench by a senior judge. They are given the opportunity to hear cases and make decisions, but they are also supervised by a senior judge who reviews their decisions and may require them to follow precedent.

In recent years, some apprentice judges have decided to abandon precedent in their decisions. This has raised concerns about the stability of the law and the role of the judiciary in interpreting the law.

Some apprentice judges have argued that precedent is outdated and should be abandoned. They have pointed to changes in society and technology over the years, and have argued that precedent is no longer relevant in today's world.

Others have argued that precedent is important in maintaining the stability of the law and ensuring predictability. They have pointed to the importance of precedent in providing a framework for decision-making and in ensuring that similar cases are decided in a similar manner.

This debate highlights the role of the judiciary in interpreting the law and the importance of precedent in maintaining the stability of the law.
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By Linda S. Klubanow

The Apprentice Judges' Bar Association has been a driving force in advocating for the rights of apprentice judges. The association has worked to increase the presence of apprentice judges in the judicial process and to ensure that they are given the opportunity to hear cases and make decisions.

In recent years, the Apprentice Judges' Bar Association has been involved in several high-profile cases, including the Apple vs. Samsung trial and the Oracle vs. Google case.

The association has also been active in promoting the use of technology in the judicial process. This has included the use of video conferencing and other forms of remote proceedings to increase access to the judicial process.

Overall, the Apprentice Judges' Bar Association has been a strong advocate for the rights of apprentice judges and for the use of technology in the judicial process.
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